UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,298	09/18/2006	Munenori Noguchi	2006_1071A	8465
513 7590 06/05/2009 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W.,			EXAMINER	
			MAI, HAO D	
Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/593,298	NOGUCHI, MUNENORI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		HAO D. MAI	3732				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHICH - Extens after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING It ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturably received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)☑ □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 (</u>	Sentember 2006					
·		is action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	n of Claims						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-73</u> is/are pending in the application	n					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	_						
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) <u></u> is/are objected to: Claim(s) <u>1-73</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
0)23	plaini(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) <u></u> ⊤	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
A	applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)□ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documer  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer  application from the International Burea  te the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate				

Application/Control Number: 10/593,298 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

## Election/Restriction

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

**Group I:** Claims 1-5, 15-19, 24-28, 33-41, drawn to an occlusal surface transfer instrument, classified in 433/72.

**Group II:** Claims 6-13, 20-23, 29-32, 42-45, 52-62, and 68-73, drawn to an articulator, classified in 433/54.

**Group III:** Claims 46-51 and 63-67, drawn to an occlusal surface setting instrument, classified in 433/68.

**Group IV**: Claim 14, drawn to a method of making an artificial tooth, classified in class 433/215.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

- i. Groups II-IV lack the occlusal transfer instrument claimed in group I.
- ii. Groups I and III-IV lack the articulator claimed in group II.
- iii. Groups I-II and IV lack the occlusal surface setting claimed in group III.
- iv. Groups I-III lack the method claimed in group IV.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,298 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

3. Note that claims 6-13, 20-23, 29-32, and 42-73, are improper dependent claims as they fail to further limit the subject matter of the respective independent base claims.

- For example, claim 6 is drawn to an articulator and fails to further limit the transfer instrument of the independent claim 1. It is important to note that the claim language "such that a median line setting rod constituting an occlusal surface transfer instrument according to claim 1 is disposed perpendicularly to said bottom plate on the condition that a hardened bite material held ..." (lines 12-20 of claim 6), does not actively claim the transfer instrument of claim 1 but only describes the capability of the articulator for having such transfer instrument being disposed therein.
- Another example is claim 46, which is drawn to a setting instrument and fails to further limit the transfer instrument of the independent claim 15. The claim language "the median line guiding protruding member <u>having the same section shape</u> as a protruding member of a median line setting rod constituting an occlusal surface transfer instrument according to claim 15" (lines 12-15 of claim 46), <u>does not actively claim the transfer instrument of claim 15 but only describes a structure of the setting instrument having the same section shape as a structure of the transfer instrument.</u>
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention. Should applicant traverse on the

Application/Control Number: 10/593,298 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

571-273-8300.

ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HAO D. MAI whose telephone number is (571)270-3002. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hao D Mai/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732

/Cris L. Rodriguez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3732